**Automatized Sentinel-1 Monitoring System** 

Milan Lazecký, Ph.D. milan.lazecky@vsb.cz

IT4Innovations national00£\$1! supercomputing center000#1010

## Background

- 1980s satellite (SAR) radar images are interferometrically coherent!
- 1990s satellite SAR interferometry (InSAR) has potential to identify subsidence and landslides!
- 2000 PS-InSAR technique can identify (sub)millimetric motions!
- 2008 TerraSAR-X satellite offers ground resolution < 1x1 m!
- 2014 new SAR satellite Sentinel-1 = "golden era of InSAR"
- 2016 approaches towards (inter)national systems for monitoring of terrain displacements, InSAR recognized and approved by geodetists

# Work objective(s)

- to create a Czech national terrain monitoring system offering:
  - generation of annual static maps of terrain motions subsidence, active landslides
  - on-demand generation of high resolution motion maps over urban areas
  - "near real-time" warning system for potential sudden motions
  - extra radar-based feature maps e.g. dual-polarization application for forestry/agriculture (identification of land type and/or deforestation)

## Satellite SAR Interferometry



# **Multitemporal InSAR**

- usage of 20+ SAR images
- selection of stably reflecting objects
- removal of atmospheric and other noise components

**Basic techniques:** 

- Persistent Scatterers (PS-InSAR)
  - combination of images with common "master"
  - high accuracy of estimation (<1 mm/year)</li>
- Small Baselines (SB-InSAR)
  - combination of images with short time span
  - spatial filtering -> more points, lower accuracy





# Sentinel-1, a "game-changer"

- part of European Copernicus fleet
- free and open data access
- global data (with higher revisit rate over Europe) since Oct 2014
- 6 days revisit time (for InSAR purposes)
- resolution of 20x5 m
- 1 image = cca 250x180 km = cca 4.5 GB
  - ---> need of supercomputations



## Sentinel-1 coverage over Czech Rep.

- 4 descending(D) tracks
  - footprints pictured ->
- 4 ascending (A) tracks
- example:
  - 1 image of D track 124



124

### Sentinel-1 coverage over Czech Rep.

- 1 image consists of:
  - 3 swaths
  - 3x8 bursts (80x20 km)



#### Sentinel-1 coverage over Czech Rep.

- each area covered by 2 D and 2 A tracks
- processing of all tracks leads to selfconfirmed results
- ~60 images/year -> 4 tracks x 60 images x 4.5 GB = 1 TB => processing of any 1 area needs 1 TB of input data



### 2015: HPC processing system 1.0 - "IT4InSAR"

- virtual machine running specific software on one node (24 cores)
- quick and flexible for one-off processing and testing, sharable platform
- convenient but "no-HPC"
- empowered by SARPROZ sw





## 2015: HPC processing system 1.0 - "IT4InSAR"



## 2015: HPC processing system 1.0 - "IT4InSAR"



#### 2016: HPC processing system 2.0 - "Sentineloshka"

- processing using open-source tools, custom Sentinel-1 database
- codes available: https://github.com/espiritocz/sentineloshka/
- fully automatic
  - user input: coordinates
- heavy processing
  - need optimizations



#### 2016: HPC processing system 2.0 - "Sentineloshka"

#### first result:

- 50x50 km area
- 2 years data: 3.8 TB
- 650 core-hours (!)

OOKING INSIDE THE CONTINENTS FROM SPACE







- ESA transforms raw satellite data into SLC (images that include radar wave phase information)
- CollGS stores SLC data over Czech Republic
- IT4I exploits SLC data and calibrates/coregisters them (0.001 px precision)



- SLC preprocessor (CESNET server) calibrates data using precise ephemerides and auxilliary Sentinel-1 data, sends data to IT4I <sup>10 minutes/18 bursts</sup>
- metadata base (CESNET server) S1 burst metadb based on LiCS
- SLC-C generation (IT4I) (heavy) HPC processing, storage <sup>20 minutes/18 bursts</sup>





- SLC preprocessor (CESNET server) calibrates data using precise ephemerides and auxilliary Sentinel-1 data, sends data to IT4I <sup>10 minutes/18 bursts</sup>
- metadata base (CESNET server) S1 burst metadb based on LiCS
- SLC-C generation (IT4I) (heavy) HPC processing, storage <sup>20 minutes/18 bursts</sup>

- SLC-C data are organized per track and burst ID
- user sets coordinates, data are sent for processing, results are seen in a webGIS
- currently available:
  - DINSAR one burst interferogram is generated in 8 seconds
  - PS InSAR using STAMPS, dataset of 100 bursts: 1-2 hours, i.e. 24-48 core-hours
  - SB InSAR using STAMPS, dataset of 300 bursts: 3-4 hours, i.e. 72-96 core-hours

SLC-C storage multitemporal processor end user



both PS and SB processing should be more optimized

**STAMPS** 

TRAIN

















SW





4

124 2 2142







24 2 2142





relorb swa

75 1 8109 75 1 8136

175 2 8118



coher.: 0.89638877 vel.: -2.3

8109

1 8136

175 2 8118

75

.75

21479 Line of sight -8.9000 - -4.8400 -4.8400 - -0.7800 -0.7800 - 3.2800 3.2800 - 7.3400 7.3400 - 11.4000

51 3 21479









1479

#### IT4S1 - first results - zoom out + new frames



124 124 175 175 175 175 175	22111222	2142 2144 8109 8136 8164 8091 8118	

#### IT4S1 - first results - zoom out + new frames



rela	SW	SWa	
124	2	2142	
124 175	2	2144	
175	1	8136	
175	2	8091	
175	2	8118	

#### IT4S1 - first results - zoom out + new frames

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Further works for IT4S1

- improvements of codes
- application of partially prepared (post)processing scripts
  - decomposition, landslide identification,...
- preparing full pre-processing chain:
  - CZ: 8 tracks per ~120 images x 24 corehours = 23040 c.hours = ~1 core-month
- calibration of intensity images for dual-polarization processing
- include external information (weather, temperature, landuse?)
- include other techniques for full exploitation (e.g. pixel offset tracking)
- inclusion of commercially available processing tools (?)

full national map of potential landslide activity

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XL XXIII ISPRS Congress, 12–19 July 2016, Prague, Czech Republic

#### POTENTIAL OF SENTINEL-1A FOR NATION-WIDE ROUTINE UPDATES OF ACTIVE LANDSLIDE MAPS

M. Lazecky <sup>a</sup>\* F. Canaslan Comut<sup>b</sup>, E. Nikolaeva<sup>c</sup>, M. Bakon<sup>d</sup>, J. Papco<sup>d</sup>, A. M. Ruiz-Armenteros<sup>e</sup>, Y. Qin<sup>f</sup>, J. J. M. de Sousa<sup>g</sup>, P. Ondrejka<sup>h</sup>

map of decomposed
displacements of infrastructure

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

EEE JOURNAL OF SELECTED TOPICS IN APPLIED EARTH OBSERVATIONS AND REMOTE SENSING

#### Bridge Displacements Monitoring Using Space-Borne X-Band SAR Interferometry

Milan Lazecky, Ivana Hlavacova, Matus Bakon, Joaquim J. Sousa, Daniele Perissin, and Gloria Patricio

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### early warning about structure destabilization

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

Hong–Kong building collapse (29th January 2010)

• identification of deforestation and other (semi-)automatic outputs

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

EVALUATION OF FOREST LOSS IN BALIKPAPAN BAY IN THE END OF 2015 BASED ON SENTINEL-1A

POLARIMETRIC ANALYSIS

MILAN LAZECKÝ<sup>1</sup>, STANISLAV LHOTA<sup>2</sup>, ZUZANA POHANKOVÁ<sup>2</sup>, PETRA WENGLARZYOVÁ<sup>3</sup>,

NEHA JOSHI<sup>4</sup>

## Thank you for your attention